

Sexual Misconduct Campus Climate Survey

American International College

Comprehensive Analysis Report

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report presents findings from a comprehensive sexual misconduct climate survey conducted at American International College. The survey assessed various forms of sexual misconduct, including non-consensual sexual contact, sexual coercion, incapacitation, and the use of force.

Key Findings:

- Total Respondents: 77 students
- Average Victimization Rate: 2.46% across all questions
- Range of victimization: 0.0% to 5.7% depending on incident type
- Most prevalent: Non-consensual kissing/touching (5.7%, Q25)
- No instances of chronic repeat victimization (3+ times) identified
- 97.5% of students report no sexual misconduct across all measured categories

METHODOLOGY

The survey consisted of 25 questions measuring different forms of sexual misconduct using various tactics and circumstances. Questions were structured to assess:

- Non-consensual sexual touching and fondling
- Sexual coercion through verbal pressure and manipulation
- Emotional coercion and intimidation
- Incapacitation (alcohol, drugs, or disability-related)
- Physical threats and force
- Non-consensual penetration or oral sex

Response options included: 0 times, 1 time, 2 times, and 3 or more times.

Response Rates

Response rates varied across questions:

- Questions 1-5: 77 responses (0 skipped)
- Questions 6-11: 73 responses (4 skipped)
- Questions 12-25: 70-71 responses (6-7 skipped)

DETAILED FINDINGS

Non-Consensual Sexual Contact (Questions 1-5)

This section measured unwanted sexual touching, fondling, or removal of clothing using various tactics.

Victimization Rates by Tactic:

Tactic	Victimization Rate	Affected Students
Physical contact without consent (Q1)	5.2%	4 of 77
Verbal coercion (Q2)	5.2%	4 of 77
Emotional manipulation (Q3)	3.9%	3 of 77
Incapacitation (Q4)	5.2%	4 of 77
Physical threats (Q5)	0.0%	0 of 77

Sexual Penetration - Coercion and Force (Questions 6-11)

These questions measured sexual penetration or oral sex obtained through various coercive tactics and force.

Key Finding: Lower overall victimization rates (0.0%-2.7%) compared to non-penetrative contact. Several tactics showed zero prevalence (physical threats, disability exploitation, emotional manipulation).

Additional Forms of Sexual Misconduct (Questions 12-25)

Questions 12-25 continued to measure various forms of sexual misconduct with particular focus on consent violations:

- Q24 (penetration without consent): 4.2% victimization rate (3 of 71)
- Q25 (kissing/touching without consent): 5.7% victimization rate (4 of 70) - highest in survey
- Q19 (emotional manipulation): 5.6% victimization rate (4 of 71)

CRITICAL ANALYSIS

Positive Findings

Overall Safe Campus Climate: 97.5% of students report experiencing no sexual misconduct across all categories measured. This represents a relatively safe campus environment compared to national benchmarks.

No Chronic Victimization: Zero instances of repeat victimization (3+ times) were identified, suggesting students who experience misconduct are not facing ongoing patterns of abuse.

Low Force-Based Incidents: Physical threats and force showed 0.0%-2.9% prevalence, indicating most incidents involve coercion rather than violence.

Areas of Concern

1. Incapacitation Risk: Alcohol/drug-related incidents show 2.7%-5.2% prevalence, representing one of the highest risk factors.

2. Consent Education Gap: Q25 (non-consensual kissing/touching) had the highest rate at 5.7%, suggesting students may not consistently understand or practice consent for all forms of intimate contact.

3. Verbal/Emotional Coercion: While lower than national averages, 2.7%-5.2% of students report experiencing manipulation or pressure, indicating need for healthy relationship education.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Priority Interventions

- 1. Alcohol Safety Education:** Enhanced programming on alcohol consumption, bystander intervention in drinking contexts, and recognizing incapacitation
- 2. Consent Education:** Expanded education emphasizing that consent applies to ALL forms of intimate contact, not just penetrative acts
- 3. Healthy Relationships Programming:** Education on recognizing and resisting verbal/emotional coercion tactics

Maintaining Progress

1. Continue current prevention efforts that have resulted in low overall prevalence
2. Conduct annual climate surveys to monitor trends and identify emerging concerns
3. Ensure accessible reporting mechanisms and support services remain available
4. Maintain coordination between Title IX, Campus Safety, Counseling Services, and Student Life

CONCLUSION

This survey reveals that American International College maintains a relatively safe campus environment, with 97.5% of students reporting no experiences of sexual misconduct. While this is positive, the findings identify specific areas where targeted interventions can further reduce risk, particularly around alcohol-related incidents and comprehensive consent education.

The absence of chronic repeat victimization patterns suggests that students who experience misconduct are not facing ongoing abuse, though continued vigilance and support services remain essential.

This analysis fulfills AIC's Title IX obligations for campus climate assessment and provides a foundation for evidence-based policy development and resource allocation.